



FRAMSDEN PARISH COUNCIL

All Councillors are reminded of their obligations under the Code of Conduct Regulations.

Minutes of the Meeting held on Thursday 11th March 2021 remotely via Zoom.

Attendance	Nicola Whitmore (NW)	Glen Buckingham (GB)	James Young (JY)
	Adam Eaton (AE)	Debra Pearce (DP)	Claire Macauley (CM)
	Dominic Vaughan (DV)	Sarah Clare (Clerk)	Matthew Hicks (MH) (County Councillor)

Public present at the meeting: 9

FPC 21-02-01 Apologies for Absence

Suzie Morley (District Councillor)

FPC 21-02-02 Declaration of Interests

DV, AE, NW, GB and CM declared interest in FPC 21-02-09 a) i) as they are all shareholders in the Framsdén Community Benefits Society.

FPC 21-02-03 Public Forum

AE opened the meeting by thanking everyone present for taking the time to attend and asking if any of the members of the public present had anything that they wished to raise.

A parishioner asked how successful the Parish Council had been in expanding the email distribution list, following the discussion at the December meeting. AE explained that the chance to join the list had been publicised through a local WhatsApp group and via the Framsdén Fundraiser's annual summary. The Clerk confirmed that as a result a few people had joined the list.

A query was raised about why a new water heater was being considered for the village hall, when the kitchen had only been refurbished a few years ago and the hall itself had been closed for much of the year. AE explained that there had been some concerns about the plumbing raised during the lockdown period and as a result two plumbers had independently looked at the issue and agreed on the work that needed to be done, providing quotes that the Parish Council would be looking at during the meeting this evening.

One parishioner raised two points, the first being item 10 d. on the upcoming agenda, expressing concern that purchasing two new benches for the village hall garden is (in their opinion), not the best use of precept money as there are a number of chairs in the hall that could be taken out for use in the garden. The second point being for item 6 on the agenda regarding Quiet Lanes, they felt that the locals are all respectful of the lanes as it is and the main culprits that cause problems with speeding are delivery drivers who (again in their opinion), are not likely to take any notice of the new designation. AE thanked the parishioner for the two points and stated that they would be taken into account when the Councillors discussed the items.

The parishioner who maintains the village hall garden wished to thank GB for providing a large trailer to remove garden waste from the site, avoiding the need for a smoky bonfire and also countered the argument that the chairs in the hall can be used in the garden, with the statement that the proposed benches would be available outside the hall for casual use at any time and not be reliant on the hall being open.

A query was raised regarding mention in previous meeting minutes that some grants are not available to Framsdén Parish Council to help with the village hall, because the Parish Council is not a registered charity. As the Parish Council has recourse to various grants from County & District level councils and possibly the National Lottery, information was sought on which grants are not available. The Clerk explained that it may have been some of the coronavirus grants that were unavailable, due to the way Framsdén Village Hall is managed, but that it is not possible to give information on all grants that may or may not be available as it is a truly open-ended question. CM stated that to provide such information would be poor use of the Clerk's time

Signed (Chair) Signed (Clerk) 21

and that the funding issue is something that the Village Hall Management Committee Working Party is better positioned to address.

A parishioner asked why the village hall isn't being cleaned by a paid professional cleaner at the moment. AE explained that it was due to the ongoing lockdown restrictions, but this would be addressed as and when the hall could be re-opened.

Finally, a query was raised about the new play area proposal, asking whether it would include access for all and carparking facilities. CM and JY, who are heading up the project stated that the area would be as inclusive as possible with regard to facilities, but that there are no plans to have a designated car park, as the site does not have sufficient space.

FPC 21-02-04 To Receive District Councillor's Report – District Councillor Suzie Morley

The District Councillor's report had previously been circulated to the Council and has also been posted on the village website www.framesden.onesuffolk.net

FPC 21-02-05 To Receive County Councillor's Report – County Councillor Matthew Hicks

The County Councillor's March Report had previously been circulated to the Council and has also been posted on the village website www.framesden.onesuffolk.net

MHi brought attention to the following points:

Rapid COVID testing centres are now open across Suffolk, 28 in total, with the nearest one to Framsdén being in Debenham. The intention is that anyone who has to leave home to go to work has a rapid test twice a week. One in three people can carry the virus whilst showing no symptoms, but this is still a risk to the wider population. Anyone can book online for a test, with centres open early until late to help workers, although any business can register online to receive tests to carry out in the workplace in the same way that secondary school pupils are being asked to test at their schools.

The County Council set its budget in February; there are of course huge cost pressures on the Council, with 75% of the revenue raised going on the two critical areas of social care for vulnerable adults and children. Unfortunately, the pressures are only likely to continue to increase, as although the Government has fully refunded the actual costs of the pandemic to County Councils, the planned transformation of services that Suffolk had intended to roll out over the past year has not taken place, with staff having to be redeployed away from their usual jobs in order to deliver the essential services to get the county through the lockdowns. As a result, Suffolk County Council has had to dip into reserves.

Despite the use of reserves, it has been necessary to increase the Council Tax bill for everyone, although Suffolk has not taken the full 5% authorised by central government, instead only taking a 3.99% increase as Councillors are very aware that everyone's finances are tight.

Highways and flooding are also a big cost pressure for the County Council, this used to be a small area of work, averaging 180 incidents a year in the past, but over the preceding 12 months there have been 800 incidents recorded on the online reporting tool. Unfortunately, due to climate change, this is a problem that will not go away and is likely only to get worse. Suffolk County Council will be looking to address this issue over the next four years.

MHi gave an update on the 40mph speed limit proposal, the design and formal consultation are now complete and the matter is now in the process of being formally advertised, giving the right for any objections to be raised before a traffic regulation order could be issued. At present MHi thought that it is likely that the speed limit could be implemented in late summer 2021.

The on-going problems of getting better broadband provision for Framsdén are continuing, Open Reach are now saying it may be July before the infrastructure is put in place, but there are still no guarantees. The only thing MHi knows for certain is that the work is in the Stage 3 schedule.

GB asked if there was any updated on the removal of the posts at Peats Corner, MHi had nothing to report, but would chase again.

AE asked whether the County Council had any guidance surrounding the enhancement of community facilities post COVID, with the increase in cycling, walking and the use of public spaces. MHi reminded everyone that Suffolk County Council has declared a climate emergency, part of which is looking at ways of encouraging

Signed (Chair) Signed (Clerk) 22

people to change the way that they travel. Suffolk has identified up to £20 million worth of potential cycle routes across the county, but funding needs to be obtained. There are also plans to plant up healing woods to offer community space in memory of the victims of COVID and the ongoing Quiet Lanes project is also a way of encouraging more sustainable forms of transport, but there is nothing more specific at present.

AE asked who was responsible for maintenance of the lay-by at Peats Corner on A1120. MHi agreed to check and confirm whether it is Suffolk Highways.

CM quizzed MHi on Suffolk County Council's position regarding Sizewell C, expressing concern that reports in the media stated that there was going to be compensation and mitigation for environmental degradation – whereas surely it would be better not to have degradation of the area in the first place. MHi stated that at present Suffolk County Council are not in favour of the proposal, but they don't get to make the final decision, they are only a consultee in the process. At present EDF have far more work to do, however, the return to construction materials arriving by sea is a significant win to mitigate environmental damage. The compensation/mitigation approach is only being discussed at this point, as if the build gets the go-ahead it would be better to have these clauses in place than not.

GB asked MHi whether, particularly with regard to the post COVID landscape, whether Suffolk County Council would consider supporting re-opening local facilities, such as the village pub? This would also fit in with the Climate Emergency statement as it would provide a low-carbon way to have entertainment in the village. MHi is in full support of the principle, but is not in a position to provide funding at present. MHi also stated that he was aware of a drainage issue that had been raised by a resident, regarding the ditch behind the pub, which is currently being investigated.

FPC 21-02-06 Public Consultation on Quiet Lanes Nomination

GB outlined the work that has already taken place and explained that not only has the consultation been publicised on the email distribution list, but a flier has also gone out around the village. At present there is a maximum possible cost to the Parish Council of £480, this may well be lower, as the money allotted by Suffolk County Council will be split between the parishes which have shown an expression of interest and although the take up is good across the county, with nearly 50 participating parishes, it is not perhaps as many as originally anticipated, so each parish may receive a slightly larger funding contribution.

Prior to the meeting tonight, GB had received 8 letters/emails supporting the proposal and 1 against the idea. There are approximately 10 miles of suitable lanes within Framsdon parish that could receive the designation. The legal status of the roads in question would not alter, the scheme is simply about raising awareness and respect for vulnerable road users by installing signs at the beginning, end and where appropriate repeater intervals.

In response to questions about why The Street could not be included in the scheme, GB explained that it is not applicable for roads that already have 30mph limits in place. The Quiet Lane designation does not change the speed limit on the road, but there are hopes that it is the beginning of a process moderate traffic on these roads, as it is hoped that as drivers see more and more Quiet Lane signs, they become better aware of the need to reduce speeds. It must be remembered though that the designation will not give any additional rights to walkers, horse-riders or cyclists, the intention is to foster a general respect for all road users.

As part of the designation process a highways engineer would visit and review the placing of the signs, with the intention that signs be incorporated onto existing posts where possible to reduce the need for additional street furniture.

Queries were raised about how the Quiet Lanes link up with neighbouring parishes. GB explained that Otley is signed up to the scheme and will be arranging signs for ends of the lanes that go into their parish. Cretingham is not sure at the moment about their involvement, but if they did a similar sharing of costs would take place.

One Councillor who is a resident of a proposed Quiet Lane agreed that people do not drive respectfully on such lanes, but expressed concern that the Quiet Lane designation could prove to be a “honeypot” for walkers, cyclists and horse-riders and these types of road users do sometimes cause problems themselves – citing an incident with a couple pushing a pram who refused to let a vehicle to pass. It would be very important to ensure that people are educated about exactly what a Quiet Lane meant and that respect for road users went both ways. GB agreed to follow up with the Quiet Lane team about how to handle any press announcement and how best to publicise the role of Quiet Lanes to the wider community

a) Following representations, the Parish Council voted on whether to proceed with the process.

Signed (Chair) Signed (Clerk) 23

AE proposed that the Parish Council in principle continue to progress with the Quiet Lane Scheme (subject to review at the end of the public consultation period on 20th March, that no further major matters requiring consideration had been raised) and earmark up to £480 pay for signage, GB seconded, all voted in favour and **IT WAS SO RESOLVED.**

FPC 21-02-07 Minutes of previous meetings

The Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 14th January 2021 as a true and accurate record, were proposed by GB, seconded by NW and **WERE SO RESOLVED.** The Minutes were signed by the Chair and the Clerk

FPC 21-02-08 Matters Arising and Action Points

- 7.1 Send message out on PC email distribution list about the suspension of the District Council's brown bin collection service. DISCHARGED
- 7.2 Submit responses to Planning Department at District Council as agreed. DISCHARGED
- 7.3 Continue with VHMC Working Party and report back at the next meeting on the options to take the group forward, after meeting with Community Action Suffolk. To be discussed later in meeting.
- 7.4 Explore the County Council Business Energy Efficiency Scheme and liaise with Framsdens Fundraisers over an application to install energy efficient lighting in the Village Hall. To be discussed later in meeting. ONGOING
- 7.5 Source quotes for a new noticeboard outside the Village Hall and approach District Councillor for locality budget funding for this project. To be discussed later in meeting.
- 7.6 Contact District Council to ask for The Street to be road swept in the autumn. DISCHARGED
- 7.7 Liaise with Framsdens Woodland Group about whether the group could consider collecting leaves dropped on The Street in the autumn for composting. DISCHARGED
- 7.8 Liaise with parishioner about costings for seating at the rear of the Village Hall. To be discussed later in meeting.
- 7.9 Contact Framsdens Fundraisers regarding Council decision on bub planting event. DISCHARGED
- 7.10 Prepare draft policy for ethical procurement by the Parish Council for consideration at the next meeting. To be discussed later in meeting.
- 7.11 Review information sent about SID provision and report back at next meeting. To be discussed later in meeting.
- 7.12 Publish budget as approved at the meeting on the village website. DISCHARGED
- 7.13 Submit precept request for 2021-2022. DISCHARGED
- 7.14 To arrange online payments as approved at the January meeting. DISCHARGED

FPC 21-02-09 Planning

- a) To consider planning applications that had been submitted since the last meeting:
 - i. APP/W3520/W/20/3264485 – Appeal against decision to refuse DC/20/01048 full planning application for change of use of the premises from a mixed C3/A4 use to wholly C3 residential use. ** To include an update from Framsdens Community Benefits Society (not Pub Group – clerical error on agenda) on their current plans as information to assist Parish Council deliberations. **

Framsdens Community Benefits Society Update

The appeal notification meant a relatively short timescale was available to make further comments for submission to the inspector. The appeal is going to be a written process, not a full hearing, with comments needing to be submitted by 2nd April. The reference takes users to the planning

Signed (Chair) Signed (Clerk) 24

appeal website, but the documents are all at the District Council website, with a key document being from 4th December 2020, when the owners submitted a 48-page appeal. This document did not contain much new material, but mostly centred around the fine distinction between the division of business and residential uses of the building. Everything up to this application treats the building as a pub, with accommodation ancillary to the business and if the Parish Council wished to make a submission to the appeal then the previously submitted statement would most likely stand.

The Framsdén Community Benefits Society will be making its own submission, based on community support and preparedness to re-open the pub. It is understood that the Framsdén Pub Group will also be submitting a statement, based around the history of the group and the various attempts to purchase the pub, which have been turned down by the current owners.

MHi has given the Benefits Society a grant to build a community sustainability strategy, which draws on similar schemas across the region/country and builds on community benefits, the potential platform for economic growth and health benefits, such as the need to combat loneliness and support mental health. This strategy, once published will be a public document.

Both the Framsdén Community Benefits Society and the Framsdén Pub Group are keen to hear more about the support that the Chancellor of the Exchequer has indicated will be available in June to help support pubs with re-opening and to assist communities to purchase assets, with it understood that up to £250K (subject to matchfunding) likely to be available per project. This will be something that the Benefits Society will be referencing in their submission to the planning appeal.

Finally, it was stressed that any individual could also make representations on the appeal and/or report concerns about the state of the listed building, at the District Council.

Councillors had all studied the appeal in advance of the meeting. After discussion it was decided to re-submit the existing **OBJECTION**

AE proposed, DP seconded, all voted in favour and **IT WAS SO RESOLVED.**

b) To consider any planning applications which have been submitted since agenda published

- i. DC/21/01288 – Application for Lawful Development Certificate for an Existing use or operation or activity, including those in breach of a planning condition – continued use of the land as residential amenity land. 2 Blackbird Cottages, Ashfield Road, Framsdén

Councillors had all studied the application in advance of the meeting. After discussion it was decided that they have no objection to the land being used in this way.

CM proposed, GB seconded, all voted in favour and **IT WAS SO RESOLVED.**

c) Updates and outcomes on previous planning applications:

- i. DC/20/05493 – Full Planning Application – conversion of existing granary building into a residential annexe. Southwood Farm, Otley Road - Granted.
- ii. DC/20/05947 & 05948 – Householder Planning Application & Listed Building Consent – erection of single storey rear extension; replacement front door and relocation of oil tank. Debendrift, Chapel Hill – Refused

FPC 21-02-10 Parish Council Activities

- a) Village Hall Management Committee (VHMC) Working Party Progress Report – DV had circulated a report and thanked the rest of the working party for their help in producing and editing the document. Following discussion it was agreed that the quickest and easiest option (based on advice from Community Action Suffolk) to enable the hall to open as quickly as possible, would be for the Parish Council to elect a committee, which included at least one Councillor, but could also include other volunteers, with clear terms of reference to enable the committee to act fairly autonomously on small day-to-day matters, whilst only larger decisions needed to come to a full Parish Council meeting.

Signed (Chair) Signed (Clerk) 25

The issue of funding was again mentioned; the Parish Council would not be eligible to apply to certain funds in the same way that a separate charity would, but Framsdens Fundraisers have offered support to assist the VHMC with grant applications if funds could not be acquired directly.

DV proposed that the Parish Council proceed with this model to enable the hall to open as soon as lockdown regulations permitted, AE seconded, all voted in favour and **IT WAS SO RESOLVED**.

DP agreed to be the Councillor serving on the VHMC; DV will help DP to draft the terms of reference, with help from Community Action Suffolk if required, ready to be adopted by the Parish Council at the earliest opportunity.

In the longer term the VHMC Working Party has discussed Framsdens Fundraisers possibly evolving into a slightly different group that would help within the village. This approach would supersede the need to set up another charity. Using an existing charity to combine activities in this way is currently very speculative and the details need to be worked through, DV volunteered to help with the longer term discussion of this matter if the Parish Council felt it wished to explore the option further.

- b) COVID-19: Reopening of Village Hall risk assessments and risk mitigation actions – AE explained that some work has already been done towards starting the re-opening, with quotes being obtained for necessary works. DP has agreed to oversee the re-opening, together with the VHMC.

The Clerk reported that the Election team from Mid-Suffolk District Council would be needing to access the hall on 6th May, they are aware that not all premises have been re-opened after lockdown and will be bringing all necessary cleaning equipment and signage – they simply need access and a few tables/chairs.

- c) Consideration for adoption – Ethical Procurement Policy – CM had circulated a policy for consideration and comment. AE thanked CM for the work that had gone into the document and articulating a set of principles that are hard for anyone to argue with, asking how this Parish Council level document may fit under County or District equivalents, or if there were indeed such documents that Framsdens could just adopt themselves. CM stated that she was not aware of such policies at higher levels, but would explore the matter further.

DV queried the criteria that all contractors must have climate/environmental policies and how this would be managed if they did not have such documents. CM explained that any contractors who do not have such policies could be asked to sign and accept a Parish Council policy.

AE also expressed concern about the use of the term 'policy' for the document, asking whether Councillors would prefer the term 'principles' which allows for some flexibility in interpretation of what actually constitutes 'best value'. CM agreed that 'best value' does not always mean cheapest, as other factors such as biodiversity and living wage should be considered. The document needs to include all levels of procurement – the accepting of gifts along with purchase of assets – and so could not be incorporated into the existing financial regulations.

A query was raised about having a particular member of the Parish Councillor assigned to oversee such a policy/set of principles, but it is clear in the wording that the whole Council would provide oversight.

CM agreed to consider all the points raised and rework the document for possible adoption at the next meeting.

- d) To consider quotes for additional seating at the rear of the Village Hall – AE stated that this idea, discussed at the January meeting has generated a larger response than normal, with messages of support and objections received by the Parish Council. The usage of the village hall garden has changed significantly in the last 12 months since COVID became an issue, with many more people utilising the wider village environment due to the 'stay local' messaging. More cyclists and walkers are coming into the village and enhancing community assets such as the village hall garden is something that the Parish Council should seriously consider. As stated by the parishioner who has made the proposal, the benches would be permanent and available for use at any time, not subject to having the hall open to access furniture. It is the only proposal received by the Parish Council from a member of the public to enhance the garden, although any parishioner could also make suggestions and proposals for consideration.

After some discussion, including the possibility of moving the bench from the front of the hall to the rear, and taking into account concerns about ethical procurement and use of precept money, GB proposed that benches are created by himself and AE by chainsaw-carving fallen tree trunks from the locality (using the

Signed (Chair) Signed (Clerk) 26

existing tree trunk seat located on the footpath above Low Farm as an example), AE seconded the proposal, all voted in favour and **IT WAS SO RESOLVED**.

AE thanked the parishioner who had come up with the proposal for his work on submitting costings and hoped that he would appreciate the new additions to the garden.

- e) To consider quotes submitted for new noticeboard at the Village Hall – The Clerk had circulated several quotes for noticeboards to replace the big wall mounted board that is suffering from water ingress. During discussion it was noted that this noticeboard is in a difficult location, being at the bottom of a slope and it may need to be relocated, possibly to a freestanding board. General agreement was that before any further consideration of purchasing a new board be taken, the existing board should be inspected to see if it can be made watertight. AE agreed to look at the noticeboard and advise the Clerk accordingly.
- f) To consider quotes submitted for a new water heater in the Village Hall – Two quotes had been submitted for consideration, after discussion it was agreed to proceed with the quote for £170.

AE proposed instructing the contractor accordingly, DV seconded, all voted in favour and **IT WAS SO RESOLVED**

- g) To consider quotes submitted to repair Village Hall fire doors – AE reported that he had only one quote available, Councillors asked that another be obtained before making a decision. AE agreed to obtain another quote, but expressed concern that the doors needed to be repaired as soon as possible to help re-open the hall when lockdown restrictions were relaxed. It was agreed that the second quote would be circulated to Councillors via email as soon as available and a decision would be taken via email, to be ratified at the next available Parish Council meeting to enable the matter to be expedited.
- h) Renewal of Electricity Contract – The Clerk reported that the current electricity contract was due to expire soon and had circulated various quotes for consideration. The current providers, EON, had come out cheapest, but CM expressed concerns that EON were not as ‘green’ as they claimed to be with their energy supplies. Councillors agreed that CM should investigate and obtain further quotes from green energy suppliers and that as the contract needed to be renewed before the next Parish Council meeting, to move forward based on CM’s recommendations, accepting the best deal that could be sourced, balancing both financial and ethical considerations. CM will circulate quotes to Councillors via email, with the final decision to be ratified at the next available Parish Council meeting.
- i) Update on energy efficient lighting for the Village Hall – This matter is ongoing and was deferred to the next meeting.
- j) Play area working party progress report – CM reported that a good meeting had taken place with the District Council team with regard to accessing funding. Some actions have come from the meeting that are being undertaken to enable the funding application to be submitted. It is intended to seek £40K from the Community Infrastructure Levy grants, but one of the criteria is that although the village had conducted a survey of needs in 2012 regarding the play area, this was now considered out of date and a new survey would be required. CM has been in touch with Helmingham Primary School to see if they can distribute a small survey to their families, but a village-wide leaflet drop will also be required. There is some urgency needed in moving forwards as there are a number of other parishes also considering updating their play areas and so funding bids will be quite competitive.
- k) Update on the provision of a Speed Indicator Device – NW agreed to act as lead on the work that needs to be undertaken to get Framsdon included on the County Council’s Temporary Vehicle Activated Sign rota. The Clerk will forward details of the scheme for NW to work on.
- l) Meeting Dates for 2021-2022 – The Clerk explained that the legislation for remote meetings expires on 6th May, so the AGM of the Council would need to take place between 1st May and 6th May if it is to be conducted remotely, along with the Annual Parish Meeting. As a result, Councillors agreed the following timetable for meetings:

Tuesday 4th May 2021 – Annual Parish Meeting to commence at 7pm and then followed immediately by the AGM of the Council (to start no earlier than 7.45pm) – to be conducted over Zoom

- Thursday 8th July 2021
- Thursday 9th September 2021
- Thursday 11th November 2021
- Thursday 13th January 2022

Signed (Chair) Signed (Clerk) 27

Thursday 10th March 2022

From 8th July meetings will take place in the Village Hall, commencing at 7.30pm. The Clerk will publish the dates on the village website.

FPC 21-01-10 Finance

- a) To review the Financial Statement for the month – The Clerk had previously circulated the Financial Statement for March. There were no queries. CM proposed acceptance, GB seconded and **IT WAS SO RESOLVED**. The Chair signed the corresponding Bank Statements to confirm the figures.
- b) To confirm Unity Bank balance – as at 6th January 2021 the balance was £25,391.42
- c) Petty Cash Payments Report – Total Petty Cash spend since November meeting = £0.00
- d) To agree payments as detailed on Payment Schedule – CM proposed authorisation, NW seconded and **IT WAS SO RESOLVED**. The Clerk will arrange online payments accordingly.
- e) To consider for dealing future funding requests – After discussion it was agreed that any requests for funding can be dealt with on an ad-hoc basis as received.

FPC 20-09-12 Matters of urgency to be brought to the attention of the Council

- a) GB reported that he had enquired at the District Council about the funds from the glass recycling at the lay-by on the A1120. A volunteer had come forward to look after the site and therefore it has been agreed that the funds would be released to the Parish Council, as long as the forms were completed and returned before the financial year end (31st March).
- b) The annual village litter pick is going ahead, in a socially distanced manner. Risk Assessments have been carried out and a collection of the gathered rubbish organised from Hill Farm for the day after the pick. Due to COVID restrictions there will be no catering provided this year
- c) The Clerk reported that a parishioner had been in touch earlier in the day to report damage to the bus shelter roof as a result of the storms. AE agreed to go and have a look and see what needed to be done.
- d) Items for next agenda:
 - Update on Quiet Lane Scheme progress
 - Consideration of Terms of Reference for Village Hall Management Committee
 - COVID-19: Re-opening of Village Hall risk assessments and risk mitigation actions
 - Consideration of adoption of updated Ethical Procurement Principles document
 - Play Area Working Party report
 - Speed Indicator Device update

Items for the May Agenda – please notify the Clerk of any further items for the agenda as soon as possible and by Monday 24th April at the latest, with any accompanying paperwork ready to be circulated with the agenda upon publication.

There being no further business requiring the attention of the Parish Council, the meeting was closed at 9.23pm. The next Parish Council meeting is set for **Tuesday 4th May 2021** at 7.45 pm, remotely via Zoom.

Signed (Chair) Signed (Clerk) 28